

Interactive RGB-D Image Segmentation Using Hierarchical Graph Cut and Geodesic Distance

Ling Ge, Ran Ju, Tongwei Ren, Gangshan Wu

Multimedia Computing Group, State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University, China

Outline







Introduction of RGB-D Image Segmentation

RGB-D Image Segmentation



Image segmentation plays a foundational role in various applications of RGB-D images



Why RGB-D Image Segmentation is Different?



Depth brings more information in discriminating objects from background, but it has different characteristics to color

How to measure color and depth similarities in a combined framework but with different strategy?

Depth causes the extra computational cost

How to reduce computational cost in RGB-D segmentation, especially in the situations with high efficiency requirements?

Our Work



- Propose a novel RGB-D image segmentation method
 - Utilize Euclidean distance for color similarity measurement and geodesic distance for depth similarity measurement, and combine them in graph cut method
 - Hierarchically segment RGB-D image on image pyramid by only processing the boundary regions based on the initial segmentation result



HGG Segmentation Method: Hierarchical Graph Cut with Geodesic Distance



GG Segmentation

Preliminary: Graph Cut

Objective function

$$E(L) = \lambda R(L) + B(L)$$

region boundary

- Procedure
 - Generate the terminal nodes of foreground and background
 - Measure the similarity of each pixel to source node S and sink node T
 - Calculate the gradients between adjacent pixels
 - Obtain min-cut by optimization







Color and Depth Similarity



- Color similarity
 - Euclidean distance of color space
- Depth similarity
 - Geodesic distance



- Depth difference
 - $\Delta D_{BC} = \Delta D_{AC}$
- Geodesic distance
 - $G(A, C) \neq G(B, C)$

Regional and Boundary Penalty





Observation



- Computational cost increases rapidly when image resolution increases
- The segmentation results on different image scales have similar appearance, and difference only occurs on boundary precision



Hierarchical Segmentation



Construct a scale space $\{I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$ for each RGB-D image I





Experimental Results

Dataset and Experimental Settings



Dataset

- NJU400: 400 stereo image pairs
- RGBD Benchmark: 1,000 RGB-D images
- Platform
 - PC with a four-core 3.40 GHz CPU and 8GB memory

Methods for Comparison



- GC: Graph Cut [ICCV, 2001]
- GB: Grabcut [TOG, 2004]
- MGC: Hierarchical Graph Cut [ICCV, 2005]
- GDD: Only depth with geodesic distance
- RGBD: Using depth as the fourth channel [SSVM, 2015]
- GG: Our method without hierarchical strategy
- HGG: Our method with hierarchical strategy

Comparison



Effectiveness comparison

- Criteria: Precision, recall, F-measure (beta = 0.3)

	GC	GB	MGC	GDD	RGBD	GG	HGG
precision	0.7163	0.9361	0.7575	0.8542	0.8419	0.9272	0.8946
recall	0.7254	0.5558	0.7360	0.8921	0.7796	0.9032	0.9287
F _β	0.7184	0.8084	0.7524	0.8627	0.8267	0.9215	0.9022

Efficiency comparison

Execute each method 10 times to obtain its average running time of segmentation

	GC	GB	MGC	GDD	RGBD	GG	HGG
Time(s)	0.4340	5.6015	0.0828	32.0488	0.3423	32.2416	0.1131

Examples of Comparison







Conclusion

Conclusion



Contribution

- Propose a novel interactive segmentation method for RGB-D images
- Combine different similarity measurement strategies on color and depth to improve segmentation effectiveness
- Utilize hierarchical strategy to improve segmentation efficiency

Future work

- Further explore the potential of depth for RGB-D image segmentation
- Apply the proposed method in various applications of RGB-D images



